

Intravenous Vitamin C in Cancer Care

Healthcare Provider Resource

To view the patient version, visit [CCNM research](#)

Developed by:
The Patterson Institute for Integrative Oncology Research
of the Canadian College of Naturopathic Medicine

Last updated: February 2023

Table of Contents

General information.....	2
Summary.....	2
Pharmacokinetics.....	2
Mechanism of Action	3
Clinical Evidence Related to Effectiveness.....	4
IVC monotherapy.....	4
Quality of life.....	4
Survival, tumour response, and tumour markers.....	5
IVC in combination with standard care	6
Quality of life, side effects, and toxicity.....	6
Survival, tumor response, and tumor markers.....	7
IVC in combination with other complementary therapies	10
Applications with limited research.....	11
Pediatric use	11
Hematological malignancies.....	11
Low dose Intravenous Vitamin C	11
Adverse Events and Side Effects.....	13
Interactions with cancer treatments and other medications.....	14
Chemotherapy and radiation therapy.....	14

General information

Proper Name

Ascorbic acid, Ascorbate

Common Name

Vitamin C

Route of Administration

Intravenous (IV)

Common Uses in Cancer Care

IVC is commonly used in cancer care to improve quality of life, reduce cancer-treatment related side effects, and possibly to slow cancer progression and improve cancer treatment outcomes.

Summary

Pharmacological levels of plasma ascorbate ($\geq 0.3\text{mM}$) are achievable only through IV administration. Cytotoxicity of vitamin C to cancer cells *in vitro* occurs at plasma levels ranging from 1mM to $>20\text{mM}$, depending on cancer cell type. Plasma levels of 20mM are commonly targeted to achieve potentially cytotoxic effects *in vivo*, although several cancer cell lines exhibit cytotoxic responses at much lower concentrations. The dose required to achieve plasma ascorbate levels of 20mM typically ranges between $1\text{-}1.5\text{g/kg}$ of body weight per infusion. This monograph focuses on IVC at high dose.

Proposed mechanisms of action of high dose IVC include generation of hydrogen peroxide creating oxidative stress, enzyme cofactor activities, anti-angiogenic and anti-inflammatory actions, and immune effects. Twenty-three prospective clinical trials have been published using IVC in cancer populations. These 23 studies include five randomized controlled trials (RCT) and 18 single-arm trials. Most published studies have been relatively small. Results from these clinical trials, as well as from observational studies demonstrate that IVC is generally safe and well tolerated, with minimal and mild side effects. Some but not all studies have found benefit for quality of life and symptom

management alongside cancer treatments or as monotherapy. There is promising preliminary research for IVC administered in addition to standard treatments for tumour response and survival outcomes in advanced pancreatic cancer, ovarian cancer, non-small cell lung cancer, and RAS-mutant colorectal cancers. More research is needed, particularly from larger, randomized and placebo-controlled trials to confirm these findings and study its impact in other cancers.

Pharmacokinetics

Administration of IV vitamin C has been demonstrated to increase serum, plasma, erythrocyte, and tumor concentrations of ascorbate. The administration of IVC results in far higher serum levels of vitamin C (between 30 to 300-fold) than oral administration of an identical dose.^{1,2} IV administration bypasses the limitations of gastrointestinal absorption compared to when taken orally.³ Physiologic plasma concentrations of ascorbate range from the μM range up to 0.2mM with maximal

other pharmacokinetic studies have generally found similar results, although at least one has found higher doses continue to raise serum levels.⁸ Most of these trials to date have used doses ranging 1-1.5g/kg body weight, which typically correlates to dosing between 60 and 100g of ascorbate, to achieve plasma concentrations around 20mM.^{5,9-16}

Pharmacokinetics of infused ascorbate varies considerably from person to person; therefore in order to

and histone demethylases that regulate gene expression.

C

patients with metastatic triple negative breast cancer (TNBC).⁶¹ Thirty-five women receiving IVC every other day during two cycles of gemcitabine + carboplatin chemotherapy were matched to 35 women receiving chemotherapy alone. The study found that there was no change in tumor response rates between groups after 2 cycles of treatment. However, the study did find that there was significantly longer PFS and OS in the treatment arm compared to control arm after a median follow up time of 22 months (PFS 7 months (1.5-28.5) vs 4.5 months (1.5-8), $p = 0.002$; OS 27 months (4-40) vs 18 months (3-26), $p = 0.002$). Adverse events were significantly lower and KPS score higher in the treatment group. This study suggests that IVC may not alter tumor response, but may improve PFS and OS, improve performance status, and reduce toxicity of chemotherapy. Data from prospective, randomized trials are needed to confirm these findings.

A case series reported the effects of IVC in addition to polymerase inhibitors (PARPi) in a group of eight patients with a mix of progressive stage IV cancers, including prostate (n=2), breast (n=1), pancreatic (n=2), gastric (n=1) and ovarian (n=2).⁶³ Patients were treated with IVC at a dose of 1-1.5g/kg body weight, 2-4x a week for a minimum of three months. Authors reported that five patients had a partial response and three a complete response. Grade 2 anemia and fatigue were observed, while no grade 3 or 4 toxicities were observed.

Lastly a observational study included 15 patients with

weeks.⁶⁹ The treatment was well tolerated, but overall the results were not promising enough to recommend further study of this combination. Another study in AML enrolled elderly patients (≥ 60 years) with newly diagnosed AML who were either unfit for or refused intensive chemotherapy.⁷⁰ Patients were randomized to receive decitabine-based chemotherapy alone, or decitabine-based chemotherapy plus low dose IVC at 50-80mg/kg/day. Treatment was continued until disease progression or unacceptable toxicity. This study found that the complete response (CR) rate after one and two induction cycles was higher in the IVC arm (79% vs 44%, $P = 0.004$ and 84.6% vs 70.6%, $P = 0.148$), and at a median follow up of 13.8 months the IVC arm had better median OS (15.3 vs. 9.3months, HR 0.47, $P = 0.039$). The OS at 3 years in the IVC group was 28.6% and 12.5% in control group ($p < 0.001$). There was no significant difference in adverse events between groups. This same study did an *in vitro* analysis that found that decitabine in combination with low-dose vitamin C has a synergistic anti-neoplastic action against AML cells through modulation of TET2 expression and activity.

and 0% in the control group. There was a median pain reduction of 50% with use of IVC. Median survival was 10 months in the IVC group compared to 2 months in the chemotherapy and control groups ($p < 0.001$ and $p = 0.002$ respectively).

A retrospective cohort study evaluated the impact of low dose IVC on survival in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) following curative hepatectomy.⁸¹ This dose was selected as it achieved plasma concentrations of 1.5mM which the authors found was

acute oxalate nephropathy, renal failure in those with a pre-existing renal condition.

Very rare (<0.01% of patients): atrial fibrillation (one report)

Many of these side effects may be attributed to the infusion of a high osmolarity solution. Further, many of these reactions appear to be mitigated by drinking fluids before and during treatments.^{11,40,46}

Interactions with cancer treatments and other medications

Chemotherapy and radiation therapy

Animal and cell-line studies suggest a synergistic effect when some chemotherapeutic agents are combined with pharmacologic doses of vitamin C. Chemotherapy agents with evidence of such synergy include: gemcitabine,⁸⁵ carboplatin,⁸⁶ cisplatin,^{2,87,88} etoposide,² 5-fluorouracil,^{2,87,89} epirubicin,⁸⁹ doxorubicin,^{2,55,88} paclitaxel,^{2,88} docetaxel,⁸⁹ and irinotecan.⁸⁹ In these studies, the combination of IVC plus chemotherapy was related to increased tumour inhibition and decreased tumour growth rate as compared to either IVC or chemotherapy alone.

Human studies (described in Tables 1 and 2) have used IVC alongside a variety of cytotoxic chemotherapy and targeted agents including gemcitabine, carboplatin, paclitaxel, cyclophosphamide, cytarabine, etoposide, 5-fluorouracil, oxaliplatin, irinotecan, dexamethasone, temozolomide, erlotinib, rituximab, and bevacizumab. IVC has also been used concurrently with radiation therapy. Although most of these studies were small and without a control group, there was no indication of a negative interaction and many reported results suggestive of benefit. Data from studies with control groups have found either no difference or improvements in response rates and survival time with concurrent use of IVC.^{42,43,57} See table 1 for details of these studies.

It is notable that one *in vitro* study that demonstrated detrimental interactions between vitamin C and

clinical history.²³

Caution is warranted in patients with end-stage renal failure who may be predisposed to hyperoxalemia or hyperoxalosis,⁹⁷

Stephenson, 2013 ³²	Phase I Single arm	17 patients with advanced solid tumours refractory to standard therapy	IVC 4x weekly for 4 weeks. Dose escalation protocol: 30, 50, 70, 90, 110 g/m ² All patients received a multivitamin and EPA (2000mg)	None	Safety, tolerability, PK, QoL (EORTC QLQ-C30), tumour response	7/17 patients experienced grade III or IV AEs (hypokalemia, hyponatremia, headache) Half-life: 2.0 ± 0.6 h C _{max} and AUC increased proportionately with dose, but reached maximum at 70 g/m ² (C _{max} 49mM, AUC 219 h mM). No objective tumour responses observed. EORTC scores improved in weeks 3-4 compared to baseline (week 3 N = 7, week 4 N = 2).
Welsh, 2013 ²²	Phase I Single arm	9 patients with stage IV pancreatic adenocarcinoma receiving gemcitabine	IVC 2x weekly during chemotherapy; titrated to achieve plasma levels of >20mM (50-125g)	None	Primary: Toxicity (CTCAE v3), plasma ascorbate levels Secondary: performance status, weight, PFS, OS, lab outcomes	No DLTs or SAEs; safe and well tolerated. Mean AA trough levels were significantly higher than baseline 6/9 subjects maintained or improved performance status and mean weight loss was 5.3 ± 1.6kg during treatment. PFS: 26 ± 7 weeks; OS: 13 ± 2 months for those receiving at least 1 month of treatment F ₂ -isoprostane levels Stable levels of GSH and E _{hc} in RBCs
Kawada, 2014 ⁹⁰	Phase I Single arm	3 patients with relapsed B cell non-lymphoma receiving CHASER regimen	75g IVC administered on days 9, 11, 14, 16, and 18 of 21-day cycle of CHASER	None	Safety, dose (based on plasma AA concentration)	No AEs attributed to IVC Plasma concentration of >15mM achieved by day 9 or 18 with 75g dose. 75g dose recommended for future trials.
Ma, 2014 ⁴³	Phase I/II 2-arm, open label RCT	25 patients with newly diagnosed stage III/IV ovarian cancer receiving carboplatin/paclitaxel for 6 months	IVC + chemotherapy IVC given 2x weekly for 12 months; dosed to achieve plasma concentration of 20-23mM (75g or 100g)	Chemotherapy alone	Safety and toxicity measured by CTCAE v3, PFS	No difference in grade III/IV toxicities between groups, significant reduction in grade I (p < 0.01) and II (p = 0.028) toxicities in IVC arm Median PFS 8.75 months longer in IVC arm. P values not provided by authors.

Hoffer, 2015 ³⁷	Phase I/II Single arm	14 patients with advanced cancer, for whom standard care chemotherapy would offer <33% likelihood of meaningful response
----------------------------	--------------------------	---

Nielsen, 2017 38	Phase II Single arm	23 patients with metastatic castrate- resistant prostate cancer receiving androgen deprivation therapy; chemotherapy naïve	IVC 1x weekly for 12 weeks
---------------------	------------------------	---	----------------------------

Polireddy, 2017 ¹⁵	Phase I/II Single arm	12 patients with metastatic or unresectable pancreatic cancer who declined combination chemotherapy or progressed on a non-gemcitabine regimen	Phase I: IVC alone dose escalated to 100g, then combined (same day) with gemcitabine to evaluate PK Phase II: IVC 3x weekly (75 or 100g) with gemcitabine until tumour progression or patient withdrawal	None	PK, safety, tumour response, survival	Half-life (T1/2) of gemcitabine was shortened by 9% when combined with IVC but given the short half-life of gemcitabine (0.28H) the change (to 0.25H) is likely not clinically significant. AEs attributed to IVC were grade 1 nausea and thirst. 6/12 (50%) survived over 1 year, 1/12 (8.3%) survived over 2 years post-diagnosis. mOS 15.1 months, mPFS 3 months. mOS was superior to published results of gemcitabine, and gemcitabine + nab-paclitaxel.
Alexander, 2018 ³⁹	Phase I 2-arm, open label, non-randomized	14 patients with pancreatic adenocarcinoma (stages II, III, IV), eligible for gemcitabine and radiation therapy 19 subjects were enrolled as comparators (no randomization)	IVC dose escalation: 50g, 75g, 100g IVC administered daily with radiation therapy for duration of radiation (average treatment duration 5.7 weeks). Weekly gemcitabine given concomitantly.	Gemcitabine + radiation as per protocol	AEs (CTCAE v4), treatment compliance, plasma AA levels, and F2-isoprostane (oxidative stress marker), PFS, OS	Well-tolerated, 3 AEs attributed to IVC (dry mouth, thirst, transient BP elevation). One DLT occurred (esophageal spasm, patient rechallenged without incident and continued trial) 57% received all cycles of gemcitabine, 100% completed radiation; better than historical averages. 57% received all doses of IVC Significant difference in plasma F2-Isoprostanes between week 0 to week 3 (p=0.99) and after completion of chemoradiotherapy (p=0.88) but not in comparators Mean plasma AA concentrations: 50g = 15mM, 75g = 20mM, 100g = 20mM IVC group had better mOS and PFS compared median (21.7 vs 12.7 months, p=0.08; 13.7 vs 4.6 months, p=0.02)

Mansoor 2021 ⁴²	Phase II 2-arm, parallel group, single-blind, placebo-controlled RCT	343 patients with stage IIA-IIIB breast cancer (n=172 treatment, n=171 control)	IVC at 25g once weekly x 4 weeks alongside conventional care (chemotherapy, radiotherapy and/or tamoxifen)	Placebo (saline drip)	Visual Analog Scale (VAS) assessing nausea, loss of appetite, tumour pain, fatigue, insomnia, diarrhea, and vomiting	<p>A significant decrease in the mean VAS score, at day 28 compared to baseline, for: nausea (3.01 ± 0.26 vs 2.78 ± 0.54, $p = 0.0003$), loss of appetite (2.26 ± 0.51 vs 2.11 ± 0.52, $p = 0.007$), tumour pain (2.22 ± 0.45 vs 1.99 ± 0.40, $p < 0.0001$), fatigue (3.11 ± 0.32 vs 2.87 ± 0.29, $p < 0.0001$), insomnia (2.59 ± 0.35 vs 2.32 ± 0.36, $p < 0.0001$). Diarrhea and vomiting had nonsignificant decreases: diarrhea (2.65 ± 0.62 vs 2.59 ± 0.68, $p = 0.39$), vomiting 2.87 ± 0.56 vs 2.77 ± 0.50, $p = 0.08$)</p> <p>No significant changes were noted in the control group compared to baseline for any measure</p>
Chen 2022 ⁸	Phase I 2-arm	Healthy volunteers (n=21) and patients with cancer (n=12) not eligible for conventional treatment at time of enrollment	Healthy volunteers received 1-100g in escalating doses. of IVC and patients with cancer received 25-100g in escalating doses.	None	<p>Characterize the pharmacokinetic profile of IVC</p> <p>Determine MTD</p> <p>Safety and AEs</p>	<p>IVC exhibited first order kinetics up to 100g, is excreted by the kidneys and had complete renal clearance in 24 hours.</p> <p>Mean 24-hour total IVC excretion in urine for all doses was lower in oncology participants (89% of dose) compared to healthy participants at 100g (99%).</p> <p>Serum vitamin C concentration plateaued at doses over 75g (around 1g/kg in this study population) in both groups. Area under the concentration-time curve only plateaued in healthy group.</p> <p>The maximum serum concentration (C_{max}) at a 75g dose was 24.9mM and 21.6mM in the healthy and cancer groups, respectively. 100g dosing achieved a C_{max} of 23.7mM and 23.2mM in the healthy and cancer groups, respectively.</p> <p>Half-lives were reported to be close to 2 hours in both groups.</p> <p>There were no significant AEs observed, MTD was not reached.</p>

References

1. Padayatty SJ, Sun H, Wang Y, et al. Vitamin C pharmacokinetics: implications for oral and intravenous use. *Ann Intern Med.* 2004;140(7):533-537.
2. Verrax J, Calderon PB. Pharmacologic concentrations of ascorbate are achieved by parenteral administration and exhibit antitumoral effects. *Free Radic Biol Med.* 2009;47(1):32-40.
3. Chen Q, Espey MG, Sun AY, et al. Ascorbate in pharmacologic concentrations selectively generates ascorbate radical and hydrogen peroxide in extracellular fluid in vivo. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2007;104(21):8749-8754.
4. Chen Q, Espey MG, Krishna MC, et al. Pharmacologic ascorbic acid concentrations selectively kill cancer cells: action as a pro-drug to deliver hydrogen peroxide to tissues. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2005;102(38):13604-13609.
5. Pearson AG, Pullar JM, Cook J, et al. Peroxiredoxin 2 oxidation reveals hydrogen peroxide generation within erythrocytes during high-dose vitamin C administration. *Redox Biol.* 2021;43:101980.
6. Chen Q, Espey MG, Sun AY, et al. Pharmacologic doses of ascorbate act as a prooxidant and decrease growth of aggressive tumor xenografts in mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2008;105(32):11105-11109.
7. Chen P, Reed G, Jiang J, et al. Pharmacokinetic Evaluation of Intravenous Vitamin C: A Classic Pharmacokinetic Study. *Clin Pharmacokinet.* 2022.
8. Stephenson CM, Levin RD, Spector T, Lis CG. Phase I clinical trial to evaluate the safety, tolerability, and pharmacokinetics of high-dose intravenous ascorbic acid in patients with advanced cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2004;22(1):139-146.
9. Welsh JL, Wagner BA, van't Erve TJ, et al. Pharmacological ascorbate with gemcitabine for the control of metastatic and node-positive pancreatic cancer (PACMAN): results from a phase I clinical trial. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol.* 2013;71(3):765-775.
10. Ou J, Zhu X, Lu Y, et al. The safety and pharmacokinetics of high dose intravenous ascorbic acid synergy with modulated electrohyperthermia in Chinese patients with stage III-IV non-small cell lung cancer. *Eur J Pharm Sci.* 2017;109:412-418.
11. Hoffer LJ, Levine M, Assouline S, et al. Phase I clinical trial of i.v. ascorbic acid in advanced malignancy. *Ann Oncol.* 2008;19(11):1969-1974.
12. Schoenfeld JD, Sibenaller ZA, Mapuskar KA, et al. O₂(-) and H₂O₂-Mediated Disruption of Fe Metabolism

110. Barton JC, McDonnell SM, Adams PC, et al. Management of hemochromatosis. Hemochromatosis Management Working Group. *Ann Intern Med.* 1998;129(11):932-939.
111. Shahrbanoo K, Taziki O. Effect of intravenous ascorbic acid in hemodialysis patients with anemia and hyperferritinemia. *Saudi journal of kidney diseases and transplantation : an official publication of the Saudi Center for Organ Transplantation, Saudi Arabia.* 2008;19(6):933-936.
112. Ma Y, Sullivan GG, Schrick E, et al. A convenient method for measuring blood ascorbate concentrations in patients receiving high-dose intravenous ascorbate. *J Am Coll Nutr.*